



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.com

				www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/834,679	04/1	3/2001	Tetsuo Takeshima	36856.457	5620
	75	90	03/06/2002			
	Keating & Bennett LLP					
	Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place Fairfax, VA 22030				EXAMI	NER
					MEDLEY, PETER M	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2834	
					DATE MAILED: 03/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	09/834,679	TAKESHIMA, TETSUO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE AND	Peter M Medley	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a neply within the statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (a) MONE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2011 1 71: 4	——· Γhis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		•
Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	à r	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2001</u> is/are: a)	Maccented or b) Options	
request that any objection to the	e drawing(e) ha hald in all and	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disc	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
a specific ted drawings are required in rep	DIV to this Office action	approved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(2) (4) 25 (5)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	7 3	(a)-(a) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appl	ication No
application from the International B	ty documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demands.	of the certified copies not rec	eived.
4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic a) The translation of the foreign language prov [5) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic achment(s)		
	+	- ··
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/834,679

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not clearly differentiate between the two layers. The specification seems to imply that they are two different types layers, but states that can be the same material.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The terms "front" and "back" in claims 1 and 6-8 are used by the claim to mean "top" and "bottom."

In claims 7 and 8, it is not understood what "arranged to turned" means.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,679

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ormerod et al.

The reference discloses in the **fig. 3** a rectangular laminated ceramic bender with resin barrier.

The reference does not disclose that polarization directions.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been well known in the art that bender use laminates that are polarized in the same direction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use polarization in the same directions for the purpose of creating a bending device.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter M Medley whose telephone number is 703-305-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,679

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PM March 3, 2002

NESTOR RAMIREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800